STATE OF VERMONT PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

Investigation pursuant to 30 V.S.A. §§ 30 and 209 regarding the alleged failure of Vermont Gas Systems, Inc. to comply with the certificate of public good in Docket 7970 by burying the pipeline at less than required depth in New Haven, Vermont

Case No. 17-3550-INV

Notice of Probable Violations of Vermont Gas Systems, Inc. for certain aspects of the construction of the Addison natural gas pipeline)

Case No. 18-0395-PET

PREFILED DIRECT REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF BRIAN CONNAUGHTON ON BEHALF OF VERMONT ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY, INC. AND VERMONT TRANSCO LLC

November 1, 2021

Summary of Testimony

Mr. Connaughton of VELCO responds to the Prefiled Direct Testimony of Intervenors' witness Gregory Liebert filed on September 10, 2021 and "corrected" on October 4, 2021.

1	Q1.	Please state your name and occupation.
2	A1.	My name is Brian Connaughton. I am employed by Vermont Electric Power Company, Inc.
3		and Vermont Transco LLC (together, "VELCO") as the Director of Transmission Services.
4	Q2.	Have you previously submitted testimony in this proceeding?
5	A2.	Yes, I previously provided prefiled direct testimony on July 23, 2021 on behalf of VELCO in
6		Case Nos. 17-3550-INV and 18-0395-PET.
7	Q3.	What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony?
8	A3.	The purpose of my rebuttal testimony is to respond to certain aspects of the prefiled
9		testimony of Greg Liebert dated September 10, 2021 ("corrected" October 4, 2021) filed on
10		behalf of the Intervenors.
11	Q4.	What new documents did you review and/or consider in preparation of your rebuttal
12		testimony that were not previously identified in your direct testimony or related
13		discovery responses?
14	A4.	
15		I reviewed, in whole or in part, the following documents:
		 1. Mr. Liebert's prefiled testimony dated September 10, 2021 (and related exhibits) and
16		
16 17		1. Mr. Liebert's prefiled testimony dated September 10, 2021 (and related exhibits) and
		 Mr. Liebert's prefiled testimony dated September 10, 2021 (and related exhibits) and his "corrected" testimony dated October 4, 2021.
17		 Mr. Liebert's prefiled testimony dated September 10, 2021 (and related exhibits) and his "corrected" testimony dated October 4, 2021. Prefiled testimony of John St. Hilaire and Carlos Chaves (and related exhibits),
17 18		 Mr. Liebert's prefiled testimony dated September 10, 2021 (and related exhibits) and his "corrected" testimony dated October 4, 2021. Prefiled testimony of John St. Hilaire and Carlos Chaves (and related exhibits), submitted on behalf of Vermont Gas, dated September 10, 2021.

- 1
- 5. Exhibits used during Mr. Liebert's deposition.

Q5. Mr. Liebert, in response to Question 11 of his testimony, discusses "the relevance of
less than 3-foot depth cover to AC mitigation." Please discuss any response you have
to this testimony.

A5. I understand that VGS has retained an engineer to review the AC mitigation and cathodic
protection design to evaluate the relevance of the depth of pipe to the design. An adequate
protection system ensures the integrity of VGS' asset and is part of the VELCO/VGS
CO&M agreement (*Exhibit VELCO-BC-3*) in which VGS has agreed to maintain and
utilize a proper AC mitigation and cathodic protection system. I expect that VGS will
perform a review of the design and make any necessary adjustments as agreed to in the
CO&M agreement.

Q6. Mr. Liebert also states, with respect to VELCO's transmission lines within the ROW, that "[a] higher voltage line will present greater risk to the pipeline." Please discuss any response you have to this testimony.

15 A6. The VGS project accounts for the possibility of a future 345kV line alongside the existing 16 115kV lines (the VGS route extends along several 115kV line segments) in two primary 17 ways. First, the gas line is largely sited alongside the western edge of the existing electric 18 transmission line right-of-way (ROW), with limited areas on the eastern side of the ROW, 19 which were acceptable to VELCO. This siting will allow for the future easterly expansion of 20 the ROW to house a new electric transmission line and reduces the amount of future siting 21 challenges such as the placement of new transmission structures, guy wire assemblies, and 22 access routes that could conflict with the pipeline. Secondly, the VGS/VELCO

1		Memorandum of Agreement ("MOA") dated June 13, 2013, previously provided as Exhibit
2		16 to Exhibit VGS-JSH-2 in this proceeding, requires VGS to account for the additional AC
3		mitigation and cathodic protection that may be needed for a potential 345kV or other
4		electrical transmission line. See sections 1 and 3 of the MOA. This requirement also
5		obligates VGS to bear the costs of any additional AC mitigation and cathodic protections in
6		a manner that does not hinder, delay, or interrupt construction of the 345kV buildout and
7		which does not increase VELCO's 345kV build-out costs. The MOA provides as follows:
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		3. VGS Design to Account for Potential VELCO 345 kV Build-Out. The VGS Project Plans account for a potential 345 kV future build-out of the VELCO electric transmission system. The Parties are engaging in an iterative process of informational exchanges and design review to develop a mutually acceptable design for CP. The Parties have targeted June 30, 2013 as a completion date for the CP design, but acknowledge that the CP design may require more time and agree to continue to work in good faith towards finalizing the CP design. Further, the Parties mutually agree that while VGS will design the Project Plans to incorporate CP for a potential future 345 kV build-out, the initial Project build may not, in VGS' sole discretion, include this additional CP at the time the Project is constructed, provided however that: VGS will install CP associated with a future 345 kV build-out if/when such VELCO build-out occurs; said CP will be permitted by and paid for by VGS; and further provided that VGS will install said CP in a timeframe and manner that does not materially delay, hinder, or interrupt construction of the 345 kV build out and which does not increase VELCO's 345 kV build-out costs.
23 24		VGS/VELCO MOA at 2.
25		I believe that these measures address the risks identified by VELCO as well as the
26		risks referenced by Mr. Liebert.
27	Q7.	Does that conclude your testimony at this time?
28	A7.	Yes, it does.